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Colombia, like the rest of the world, is aging due to the demographic transition.

Aging, rather than a problem, is a prize societies achieve by making large investments in infrastructure, public health and education, plus all the other improvements that translate into mortality reductions.

However, it is an incomplete prize if not everyone has a good standard of living at advanced ages.
Colombia is in the middle of its demographic bonus, with under replacement fertility (since 2015), and aging will accelerate around year 2040.

Mortality is decreasing, at a slower pace now, but there is a large excess male mortality.

Cohorts between ages 40-50 represent the “exodus generation”.
Half of workers in Colombia are in the informal sector, meaning no full social security coverage.

Law 100/1993 increased health coverage from 25% to 95% in 2015, however pension coverage only increased from 23% to 25%.

Occupational gender segregation exists in the labor market.

Colombia is a typical example of the “Latin American paradox”
Hypothesis

In Colombia the demographic bonus will be missed if:

- Gender bonus is wasted: using it will increase productivity and income for households -> achieved by time-use policies
- Informality persists: Very low income and no access to the pension system with low women’s labor participation -> correct today to improve future income
Methods

We followed National Transfers Accounts-NTA and National Time Transfers Accounts-NTTA (UN-NTA 2013; Donehower, 2014)

NTA produces age profiles for macroeconomic accounts from the SNA system and a household survey of income/expenses

NTTA produces age and sex profiles of all time use to produce paid and unpaid work, from a time-use survey
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Men and Women Specialize in Different Activities

- Care
- Housework
- Sleep
- Leisure & self-care
- Education
- Market Work
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Men and women have different demographic and labor market patterns, take different decisions on consumption and the type of jobs they prefer, as well as in time use, with implications in savings and pensions, so:

- Why apply the same incentives/policies for savings and pension systems to both sexes?
- Why design the same labor market policies for both?
In Colombia, as in all other countries that have produced their NTTA, housework is predominantly feminine and paid work masculine.

Child care is the activity that takes the most time among unpaid housework production, and deprives the producers from paid income.

Once pulled from the labor market, women in their 20s hardly come back to it.
To increase contributions to the pension system, the labor market must formalize as many workers as possible, otherwise the demographic bonus will be lost.

That would also improve the quality of life of future generations of pensioners as well as their descendants who will benefit from their time transfers to younger generations.
As usual a cost-benefit analysis is necessary for any public policy, but here we propose some alternatives:

- Financial education from early ages
- Reducing the informality is also reducing inequality and to take advantage of the gender bonus
- Formalization would allow a mandatory shared maternity leave
To take advantage of the gender bonus:

- Promote childcare within or near the workplace by reducing labor-related taxes to companies willing to implement this policy.
- Promote policies that create incentives for men to do more caring activities, such as taking children to school, taking grandparents to the doctor and the like.
To take advantage of the gender bonus:

1. Grandparents as caregivers: Newly subsidized pension system allows people in their 50s who have not contributed to the system to start contributing to receive a minimum pension a few years later—BEPS (Decreto 295, 2017)

2. Thus, women with children could pay contributions to their parents/in laws that only require a maximum of COP$990,000 per year (US$330)
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